

## CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



## COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

| DE DIGDECTION (FILE)   | COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI)   |  |
|--|--|--|
| RE-INSPECTION (FUI)  | ARMS COMPLAINT NO:   |  |
| 1 TO S TO 11 11 70011 D 1 TO 10 11 11 10007  | - DED DE 10.00   |  |
| AIRS ID#: 1150011 DATE: <u>03/14/2006</u>  | ARRIVE: <u>09:30 am</u> DEPART: <u>10:00 am</u>  |  |
| FACILITY NAME: FLORIDA ROCK INDUSTRIES, WEST COAST DIV.  |  |  |
| <b>FACILITY LOCATION:</b> 252 S SEABOARD AVE   |  |  |
| VENICE 34292   |  |  |
| RESPONSIBLE OFFICIAL: HUGH PERRY   | <b>PHONE:</b> (904)355-1781  |  |
| CONTACT NAME: Mike Parker  | <b>PHONE:</b> (941)488-1376  |  |
| REMITTANCE YEAR: ENTITLE   | EMENT PERIOD: 9/10/2005 / 9/10/2010 (effective date) (end date)  |  |
|  | (checuve date) (cha date)  |  |
| PART I: <u>INSPECTION</u> <u>COMPLIANCE</u> <u>STATUS</u> (check ✓ only one box)   |  |  |
| ☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMP   | LIANCE SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE  |  |
|  |  |  |
| PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREM (check ☑ appropriate box(es))  | <u>IENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C.   |  |
|  |  |  |
| Stack Emissions  1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter   |  |  |
| 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this  |  |  |
| 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this 62-297, F.A.C.)?   |  |  |
| Were visible emissions tests conducted during this a 62-297, F.A.C.)?      Are emissions from silos, weigh hoppers (batchers) controlled to the extent necessary to limit visible er | ), and other enclosed storage and conveying equipment missions to 5 percent opacity?   |  |
| <ol> <li>Were visible emissions tests conducted during this 62-297, F.A.C.)?</li></ol>   | ), and other enclosed storage and conveying equipment missions to 5 percent opacity?   |  |
| <ol> <li>Were visible emissions tests conducted during this 62-297, F.A.C.)?</li></ol>   | yes ⊠ No  ), and other enclosed storage and conveying equipment missions to 5 percent opacity?  ctor exhaust points was the loading of the silo conducted ding rate, or at least at the minimum 25 tons per hour rate, |  |
| <ol> <li>Were visible emissions tests conducted during this 62-297, F.A.C.)?</li></ol>   | yes ⊠ No  ), and other enclosed storage and conveying equipment missions to 5 percent opacity?  ctor exhaust points was the loading of the silo conducted ding rate, or at least at the minimum 25 tons per hour rate, |  |
| <ol> <li>Were visible emissions tests conducted during this 62-297, F.A.C.)?</li></ol>   | yes No  ), and other enclosed storage and conveying equipment missions to 5 percent opacity?  ctor exhaust points was the loading of the silo conducted ading rate, or at least at the minimum 25 tons per hour rate,  |  |
| <ol> <li>Were visible emissions tests conducted during this 62-297, F.A.C.)?</li></ol>   | yes No  ), and other enclosed storage and conveying equipment missions to 5 percent opacity?  ctor exhaust points was the loading of the silo conducted dding rate, or at least at the minimum 25 tons per hour rate,  |  |
| <ol> <li>Were visible emissions tests conducted during this 62-297, F.A.C.)?</li></ol>   | yes No  ), and other enclosed storage and conveying equipment missions to 5 percent opacity?  ctor exhaust points was the loading of the silo conducted ading rate, or at least at the minimum 25 tons per hour rate,  |  |

| PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued)   |                              |  |
|---|------------------------------|--|
| (check ☑ appropriate box(es)  |                              |  |
| Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.)  1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the content of |                              |  |
| annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)  | ⊠Yes □ No                    |  |
| New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)  2. Did this facility demonstrate:   |                              |  |
| <ul><li>a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation?</li><li>b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date?</li></ul>  | ☐Yes ☐ No                    |  |
| submittal date?   | ∐Yes ∐ No                    |  |
| Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)  3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior to the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date?  |                              |  |
| Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.)  4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after t test was completed?   |                              |  |
|   |                              |  |
|   |                              |  |
| PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))  |                              |  |
|   | e 🗌                          |  |
| <ol> <li>(check ☑ appropriate box(es))</li> <li>Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>)</li> <li>If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)</li></ol>  | ng<br>□Yes ⊠ No              |  |
| <ol> <li>(check ☑ appropriate box(es))</li> <li>Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>)</li> <li>If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, <i>then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d)</i>, <i>below</i>.)</li></ol>  | ng<br>□Yes ⊠ No<br>□Yes □ No |  |
| <ol> <li>(check ☑ appropriate box(es))</li> <li>Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>)</li> <li>If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)</li></ol>  | ng<br>□Yes ⊠ No              |  |
| (check ☑ appropriate box(es))  1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? ( <i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i> )  2. If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? ( <i>If your answer to this question is YES</i> , <i>then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.</i> )————————————————————————————————————   | ng                           |  |

| PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)                            |   |  |
|--|---|--|
| (check <b>☑</b> appropriate box(es))   |   |  |
|  |   |  |
| <u>Unconfined</u> <u>Emissions</u> – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)   |   |  |
| 1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined                         |   |  |
| emissions by:  |   |  |
| a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:                     |   |  |
| 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? \bigsymbol{\times} Yes \bigsymbol{\times} No              |   |  |
| 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control                                 |   |  |
| emissions?   | <b>-</b> -  |  |
| 3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other pay  |   |  |
| re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to red   |   |  |
| 4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind b   |   |  |
|  | \( \sum Yes \( \subseteq \) No                                    |  |
| b) use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate em  | issions at the drop point to the truck? \(\sum Yes \subseteq No\) |  |
|  |   |  |
|  |   |  |
| PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES - Rul   | 6.62.210.200(4)(d)4 F.A.C   |  |
| A. New or Modified Process Equipment   | .e 02-210.500(4)(u)4., r.A.C.                                     |  |
| A. New or Mounted Process Equipment  |   |  |
| 1. Since the last inspection has there been  |   |  |
| 1. Since the last inspection has there been  |   |  |
| <ul><li>a) installation of any new process equipment?</li><li>b) alterations to existing process equipment without replace</li></ul> |   |  |
|  |   |  |
| c) replacement of existing equipment substantially differen  |   |  |
| recent notification form?  |   |  |
| d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete   |   |  |
| notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, FAC) to the appropriate DEP or local program office?                           |   |  |
| local program office?  |   |  |
|  |   |  |
|  |   |  |
| Debbie Telemeco-Anders, ESII   | 03/14/2006  |  |
|  |   |  |
| Inspector's Name (Please Print)  | Date of Inspection  |  |
|  |   |  |
|  | ~2007   |  |
|  | CALL  |  |
| Inspector's Signature  | Approximate Date of Next Inspection                               |  |
|  |   |  |
| <b>COMMENTS:</b> No fugitive particulate emissions were observed at the time of this inspection.                                     |   |  |